

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/574,413 Examiner Kuo-Liang Peng	Applicant(s) LORENTZ ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 1796</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1796	
Art Unit 1796				

All Participants:
 (1) Kuo-Liang Peng.
 (2) Brian H. Kentshire.
Date of Interview: 30 September 2010

Status of Application: _____
 (3) _____.
 (4) _____.
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
12

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 12 is amended to fix improper dependency issue.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kuo-Liang Peng/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)